Been Judge Cectification Program         Bits register Budge 328 (Stories Parks         www.bipp.org         Creders: Lenet Calande Cal Mortillaro II         Certains: Main 2.2013         Bate of Exam: Main 2.2013         Certains: Main 2.2013       Certains: Main 2.2013         Certains: Main 2.2013       Certains: Cerains: Cerains: Certains: Certains: Certains: Certains:	RIFICATION	ee Number 1705-TXHW-04
Date of Exam: May 17, 2017         Location of Exam: City, State         INTERPRETING YOUR SCORE AND FEEDBACK         Your exam has been graded by two National or Master judges and their scoring and comments reviewed by both an Associate Exam Director and the Exam Director. This three step process ensures that the assigned scores are consistent with the following criteria:         • <60: Poor knowledge of brewing and/or styles with insufficient communication skills to be a judge.       BICP Written Proficiency Examination Score _ 86         • 00: The examinee demonstrates a basic grasp of fundamentals on the written proficiency exam, but there may be some significant knowledge gaps.       • Norw Miller's Homebrewing Guide or The Complete Handbook of Home Brewing, Dave Miller         • 80s: The answers is not necessary.       • 80s: The answers indicate good knowledge of all subjects. Some errors are allowable, but there are no significant gaps and most of the answers kernon strate sexcellent knowledge level. There are no significant errors, no knowledge gaps, good depth to answers, and evidence of independent thought       • How to Brew, John Palmer (http://howtobrew.com)         The following sections summarize your performance on the exam. Since our inderstanding of brewing science and beer styles is constantly evolving, it may be possible to argue a few technical and stylistic details; however, your final score is not likely to change since your exam has already undergone several hours of evaluation by the most experienced judges and graders in the BICP.         Questions or appeals should be directed to the Exam Director.       • Principles of Brewing Science, George Fix         • Designing Great Beeers	Beer Judge 5115 Excelsior Blvd, #326 St. Louis Park, MN 55416	www.bjcp.org
Location of Exam: City, State INTERPRETING YOUR SCORE AND FEEDBACK Your exam has been graded by two National or Master judges and their scoring and comments reviewed by both an Associate Exam Director and the Exam Director. This three step process ensures that the assigned scores are consistent with the following criteria: • <60: Poor knowledge of brewing and/or styles with insufficient communication skills to be a judge. • <60: The examine demonstrates a basic grasp of fundamentals on the written proficiency exam, but there may be some significant knowledge gaps. • 70s: There can be errors and small gaps in the answers on the written proficiency exam, but depth in answers in on tecessary. • 80s: The answers indicate good knowledge of all subjects. Some errors are allowable, but there are no significant gaps and most of the answers demonstrate depth. • How to Brew, John Palmer (http://howtobrew.com) • Beer Companion, Michael Jackson • Classic Beer Style Series, Brewers Publications • New Brewing Lager Beer, Greg Noonan • Principles of Brewing Science, Greg Touthaker • Ray Thomas September 5, 2017		Report to Participant
Your exam has been graded by two National or Master judges and their scoring and comments reviewed by both an Associate Exam Director and the Exam Director. This three step process ensures that the assigned scores are consistent with the following criteria: <ul> <li><li><li><li><li><li><li></li> <li><li></li> <li><li><li><li><li><li><li><li><li><li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></li></ul>	Location of Exam: City, State	BJCP Written Proficiency Examination Score <u>86</u>
<ul> <li>reviewed by both an Åssociate Exam Director. This three step process ensures that the assigned scores are consistent with the following criteria:</li> <li>• &lt;60: Poor knowledge of brewing and/or styles with insufficient communication skills to be a judge. • • &lt;60: The examinee demonstrates a basic grasp of fundamentals on the written proficiency exam, but there may be some significant knowledge gaps. • •  • • &lt;</li></ul>	INTERPRETING YOUR SCORE AND FEEDBACK	
Image: Constraint of the second sec	<ul> <li>reviewed by both an Associate Exam Director and the Exam Director. This three step process ensures that the assigned scores are consistent with the following criteria:</li> <li>&lt;60: Poor knowledge of brewing and/or styles with insufficient communication skills to judge.</li> <li>60s: The examinee demonstrates a basic grasp of fundamentals on the written proficiency exam, but there may be some significant knowledge gaps.</li> <li>70s: There can be errors and small gaps in the answers on the written proficiency exam, depth in answers is not necessary.</li> <li>80s: The answers indicate good knowledge of all subjects. Some errors are allowable, b there are no significant gaps and most of the answers demonstrate depth.</li> <li>90s: The exam demonstrates excellent knowledge level. There are no significant errors, knowledge gaps, good depth to answers, and evidence of independent thought</li> <li>The following sections summarize your performance on the exam, and feedback on individual questions is given on the following page. When reviewing this information, keep in mind tha final score was assigned only after an assessment of the entire exam. Since our understanding brewing science and beer styles is constantly evolving, it may be possible to argue a few techn and stylistic details; however, your final score is not likely to change since your exam has alre undergone several hours of evaluation by the most experienced judges and graders in the BJC</li> </ul>	s       RECOMMENDED STUDY         be a       • Homebrewing Vol. 1, Al Korzonas         y       • Dave Miller's Homebrewing Guide or The Complete Handbook of Home Brewing, Dave Miller         put       • How to Brew, John Palmer (http://howtobrew.com)         no       • Beer Companion, Michael Jackson         it tyour g of nical eady PP.       • Classic Beer Style Series, Brewers Publications         • New Brewing Lager Beer, Greg Noonan       • Principles of Brewing Science,
	Greg Toothaker Ryan Thomas September 5	<ul> <li>Designing Great Beers, Ray Daniels</li> <li>Troubleshooting Special Issue, 1987 zymurgy (vol. 10, no. 4)</li> <li>BJCP Study Guide</li> <li>BJCP Style Guidelines</li> </ul>
<b>Overall:</b> Congratulations on achieving a very good score on the BJCP Beer Written Proficiency Exam. Your score sheets indicate a	<b>Overall:</b> Congratulations on achieving a very good score on the BICP Beer Written	Proficiency Exam. Your score sheets indicate ar

**Overall:** Congratulations on achieving a very good score on the BJCP Beer Written Proficiency Exam. Your score sheets indicate an excellent familiarity with the judging process and a very good understanding of beer styles, but also some minor gaps. Good luck in your future judging endeavors!



## Examinee Number 1705-TXHW-04

## **Beer Judge Certification Program**

5115 Excelsior Blvd, #326 St. Louis Park, MN 55416

www.bjcp.org

### SECTION 1 (BJCP/ETHICS/JUDGING PROCESS)

• Answered T/F questions correctly? Yes

#### SECTION 2 (ESSAY QUESTIONS)

		Master	National	Certified	Recognized	Apprentice	
Style knowledge							
Technical knowledge	Fechnical knowledge 50						
Program knowledge							
Communication skills							
Skipped or overlooked questions or parts of	YES	NO					

For each of the three styles a) American Porter, b) American Stout, and c) Foreign Extra Stout provide a statement describing the styles as well as the differences and similarities between them by addressing each of the topics.

Overall: You provided a complete answer for the three styles which would have benefitted from additional depth and details particularly with similarities and differences between the styles.

Metric/Rating	Percent	Master	National	Certified	Recognized	Apprentice	Not answered
Describe the aroma, appearance, flavor, and mouthfeel of each style as in the BJCP Style Guidelines.	40						
Identify at least one aspect of the ingredients (malts, hops, water chemistry) or background information (history, fermentation techniques and conditions, or serving methods) that distinguishes each style.	25						
For each of the styles, name at least one classic commercial example as listed in the BJCP Style Guidelines.	10						
Describe the similarities and differences between the three styles.	25						



# **Beer Judge Certification Program**

**Examinee Number 1705-TXHW-04** 

5115 Excelsior Blvd, #326 St. Louis Park, MN 55416

www.bjcp.org

Provide a complete ALL-GRAIN recipe for a Czech Premium Pale Lager, listing ingredients and their quantities, procedure, and carbonation. Give volume, as well as original and final gravities. Explain how the recipe fits the style's characteristics for aroma, flavor, appearance, mouthfeel, and other significant aspects of the style.

Overall: FG is lower than style range; you provided the key details of the process and a basic answer for how the recipe fits the style which would have benefitted from additional details.

Metric/Rating	Percent	Master	National	Certified	Recognized	Apprentice	Not answered
Target statistics (starting specific gravity, final specific gravity, and bitterness in IBUs or HBUs) and color (as SRM or a textual description of the color).	10						
Batch size, ingredients (grist, hops, water, and yeast) and their quantities.	20						
Mashing, boil, fermentation, packaging, and other relevant brewing procedures.	35						
Explain how the recipe fits the style's characteristics for aroma, appearance, flavor, mouthfeel, and other significant aspects of the style; and describe how the ingredients and processes used impact this style.	35						



## Examinee Number 1705-TXHW-04

## **Beer Judge Certification Program**

5115 Excelsior Blvd, #326 St. Louis Park, MN 55416

www.bjcp.org

For each of the three styles a) Dunkles Bock, b) Eisbock, and c) Helles Bock provide a statement describing the styles as well as the differences and similarities between them by addressing each of the topics.

Overall: Very good descriptions that would have benefitted from additional depth. Excellent answer for the other criteria.

Metric/Rating	Percent	Master	National	Certified	Recognized	Apprentice	Not answered
Describe the aroma, appearance, flavor, and mouthfeel of each style as in the BJCP Style Guidelines.	40						
Identify at least one aspect of the ingredients (malts, hops, water chemistry) or background information (history, fermentation techniques and conditions, or serving methods) that distinguishes each style.	25						
For each of the styles, name at least one classic commercial example as listed in the BJCP Style Guidelines.	10						
Describe the similarities and differences between the three styles.	25						

Describe and discuss the three beer characteristics a) astringency, b) acetaldehyde, and c) fruitiness. What causes them and how are they avoided and controlled? Are they ever appropriate and if so, in what beer styles?

Overall: Very good answer that would have benefitted from additional details for controls in fruitiness and appropriate/inappropriate styles for astringency and acetaldehyde.

Metric/Rating	Percent	Master	National	Certified	Recognized	Apprentice	Not answered
Describe each characteristic and how it is perceived.	30						
Identify the causes and controls for each characteristic.	40						
Identify appropriate/inappropriate styles.	30						

### Examinee Number 1705-TXHW-04



# **Beer Judge Certification Program**

5115 Excelsior Blvd, #326 St. Louis Park, MN 55416

www.bjcp.org

Explain what happens during the mashing process, including times and temperatures as appropriate. Describe three different mashing techniques and the advantages and disadvantages of each.

Overall: You provided an excellent answer that covered all aspects of the process and techniques, only improvement would be to include common times for each rest.

Metric/Rating	Percent	Master	National	Certified	Recognized	Apprentice	Not answered
Explain what happens in the mashing process, including times and temperatures as appropriate.	50						
Identify and describe three mashing techniques.	30						
Identify and describe three different mashing techniques and the advantages and disadvantages of each.	20						